

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office view of APATrade CE, and APAT

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09 865,704	05 29 2001	Hiroyasu Ito	01-149	5746	
23400 75	90 03.17.2003				
POSZ & BETHARDS, PLC			EXAMINER		
11250 ROGER BACON DRIVE SUITE 10			MONDT, JO	MONDT, JOHANNES P	
RESTON, VA	20190		ARTUNII	PAPER NUMBER	
			2826	1 2	
			DATE MAILED: 03-17-2003	$\sim 10^{-1}$	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/865,704	ITO ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Johannes P Mondt	2826

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 24 February 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.			
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]			
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	1		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee und 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce an earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	in		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because			
(a) 🖾 they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);			
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying issues for appeal; and/or	the		
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.			
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendme canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	nt		
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:)		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.			
7. ► For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ⋈ will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-14 and 29-63</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>15-28</u> .			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)			
10. Other:			
WATER AND			
MARK COLOR TO STATE			



conductivity type regions, requiring further consdieration and search.

materially change the contents of these claims because now the second trenches are not allowed to protrude from the second

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amendments of claims 1, 3, and 34 fail to place the apprecation in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues. In particular, the nomenclature of "inner wall" of a trench as a designation of the outer wall of the trench starting from the inside of the trench is retained and in sharp and definite contrast with ordinary meaning. The amendment of claim 1 is substantial in that it requires new consideration, and possibly further search, because now the profile is restricted to that component of the doping that is p-type (although the overall doping type is p-type in the first place). Moreover, the amendments of claims 3 and 34